

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSUNER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Workington DC 20201 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 7255 10 015,063 12:11:2001 James R. Heath 0180.0001 11 13 2002 David J. Oldenkamp, Esq. EXAMINER Shapiro, Borenstein & Dupont LLP LE, THAO P Suite 700 233 Wilshire Boulevard PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Santa Monica, CA 90401 2818

DATE MAILED: 11/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\					^	
		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/015,063	3	HEATH ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Thao P Le		2818		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss	
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no ever y within the statul will apply and will cause the applications.	nt, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from action to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.	
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 December 2001					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	on of Claims					
	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
, —	,					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requ	uirement.			
• •	on Papers	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
۵٫۱	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 5	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attachmen	_	•				
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·	_	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-1		

Application/Control Number: 10/015,063

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 1-12, 24: draw to a method for making a molecular electronic device that is classified in class 438, subclass 622.
- II. Claims 13-23: draw to an assembly for use in making a molecular electronic device that is classified in **class <u>257</u> subclass <u>200</u>**.
- 2. Inventions I and II above are related as method and device. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either:
- a) the product (II) as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP 806.05(e)), or
- b) the method (I) as claimed can be practiced by another materially different product or by hand.

For instance, unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group II invention could be made by other processes materially different from those of the group II invention.

Also, the method and device are classified under two different classes which required serious burden search.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/015,063

Art Unit: 2818

- 3. Because the inventions are distinct from the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of the inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(l).
- 5. If Applicant elects Group II, Applicant is required a further restriction/election. Group II (claims 13-23) contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: claims 13-20 that direct to a device comprising a first electrode pattern on a substrate, a layer of molecules having an electrical characteristic which has been deposited onto the substrate surface to form a molecular layer which covers the substrate surface including the first electrode pattern, a protective layer having an exposed surface on which a second electrode pattern may be formed.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/015,063

Art Unit: 2818

Species II: claims 21-23 that direct to a device comprising a first electrode pattern having an interior surface, a second electrode pattern having an interior surface and coverlaps the first electrode pattern to form at least one electrode intersection located between the interior surfaces of the first and second electrode patterns, and a protective layer located on the interior surface of the second electrode.

6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record

Art Unit: 2818

Page 5

showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao P Le whose telephone number is 703-605-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (8:00-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 703-308-4910. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-4015 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Thao Phuong Le November 6, 2002 HOAI HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER